

Comparative Analysis of Economic Fiqh in Islamic Financial Transactions: A Review of Literature from Various Madhhabs

Mohamad Ghozali¹, Dedah Jubaedah², Deni Kamaludin Yusup³

¹ Universitas Islam Negeri Siber Syekh Nurjati Cirebon, Indonesia

^{2,3} Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia

Email: ¹mohghozali@syekhnurjati.ac.id, ²dedahjubaedah@uinsgd.ac.id,

³dkyusup@uinsgd.ac.id

Abstract

This study analyzes the comparative interpretations of Islamic economic jurisprudence (fiqh) in financial transactions across the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali schools of thought. Employing a descriptive qualitative approach, data were collected from academic literature, official documents, historical sources, and expert interviews. The research focuses on key Sharia financial transactions, including murabahah, mudarabah, musyarakah, ijarah, and sukuk, to explore the diversity of fiqh interpretations. The findings reveal significant differences, with the Hanafi and Maliki madhhabs showing greater flexibility and adaptability to socio-economic contexts, while the Shafi'i and Hanbali madhhabs maintain a stricter adherence to religious texts. These differences impact the design and implementation of Sharia-compliant financial products, influencing how they align with diverse fiqh perspectives. This study concludes that such variations highlight the dynamic and adaptable nature of Islamic economic jurisprudence in addressing diverse socio-economic needs. It underscores the importance of harmonizing interpretations to support the globalization of Islamic finance, ensuring inclusivity and sustainability without compromising Sharia principles. This research provides valuable insights into the role of madhhab diversity in shaping the growth and development of Sharia-compliant financial systems.

Keywords: Economic Fiqh, Islamic Financial Transactions, Madhhab Comparison, Sharia Finance.

Abstrak

Penelitian ini menganalisis perbandingan interpretasi fiqh ekonomi Islam dalam transaksi keuangan syariah di antara madzhab Hanafi, Maliki, Syafi'i, dan Hanbali. Dengan menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif deskriptif, data dikumpulkan dari literatur akademik, dokumen resmi, sumber historis, dan wawancara dengan para ahli. Penelitian ini berfokus pada transaksi keuangan syariah utama, termasuk murabahah, mudarabah, musyarakah, ijarah, dan sukuk, untuk mengeksplorasi keragaman interpretasi fiqh. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan adanya perbedaan signifikan, di mana madzhab Hanafi dan Maliki menunjukkan fleksibilitas dan adaptabilitas yang lebih besar terhadap konteks sosial-ekonomi, sementara madzhab Syafi'i dan Hanbali lebih ketat dalam kepatuhan terhadap teks-teks agama. Perbedaan ini berdampak pada desain dan implementasi produk keuangan syariah, memengaruhi kesesuaian produk tersebut dengan perspektif fiqh yang beragam. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa variasi tersebut menyoroti sifat dinamis dan adaptif dari fiqh ekonomi Islam dalam memenuhi berbagai kebutuhan sosial-ekonomi. Penelitian ini juga menekankan pentingnya harmonisasi interpretasi untuk mendukung globalisasi keuangan syariah, memastikan inklusivitas dan keberlanjutan tanpa mengorbankan prinsip-prinsip

syariah. Penelitian ini memberikan wawasan berharga tentang peran keragaman madzhab dalam membentuk pertumbuhan dan pengembangan sistem keuangan syariah.

Kata Kunci: *Fiqh Ekonomi; Transaksi Keuangan Syariah; Perbandingan Madzhab, Keuangan Syariah.*

Introduction

Sharia-compliant financial transactions have demonstrated significant growth over the past few decades, reflecting their strong connection with the principles of Islamic jurisprudence (*fiqh*). Islamic economic jurisprudence, as a specialized branch of knowledge that examines Islamic law in the economic context, plays a crucial role in shaping and regulating these financial transactions (Dahlan, 2023). Various Islamic schools of thought (*madhhab*), including Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali, with their respective unique approaches, have made substantial contributions to the development of norms and practices within Islamic finance (Mas'ud, 2022). However, differing interpretations in applying the principles of Islamic economic jurisprudence such as in *murabahah* transactions highlight the more flexible approaches of the Hanafi and Maliki schools compared to the conservative stances of the Shafi'i and Hanbali schools (Rusdan, 2022). These differences pose challenges to harmonizing global Sharia financial standards, particularly because financial products and services must align with diverse *fiqh* perspectives.

In the rapidly advancing context of globalization, the diversity of approaches in Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh al-iqtisad*) necessitates the development of more inclusive and harmonized standards. A comprehensive understanding of these variations is critical, given the rapid expansion of the Sharia financial industry across national and cultural boundaries (Angriani, 2019). Inclusive standards are essential to enable the industry to adapt to the dynamics of global markets without compromising fundamental Sharia principles. Without harmonization, differences in the interpretation of Islamic economic jurisprudence risk hindering the growth and acceptance of Sharia-compliant finance in various countries, thereby limiting its ability to address the economic needs of Muslims worldwide.

According to the Financial Services Authority (OJK, 2017), the global Islamic finance industry has experienced rapid growth, with an average annual growth rate of approximately 10%–12%. By 2022, the value of Islamic financial assets was estimated to reach USD 3.06 trillion, encompassing various services such as banking, insurance, and capital markets. However, differences in the interpretation of *fiqh* principles, particularly in the implementation of contracts like *murabahah* and *ijarah*, continue to pose challenges in

developing Sharia-compliant financial products that are widely acceptable across different countries and schools of thought.

This study shares similarities and differences with previous research. Rahmawati's article (Rahmawati, 2011), "*Dynamics of Contracts in Sharia Economic Transactions*," explores the flexibility of contracts in responding to market demands but does not delve deeply into cross-madhab comparisons as this study does. A. Abdullah's research (A. Abdullah, 2017), "*A Comparison Between Malaysia and Indonesia in the Islamic Banking Industry*," highlights a comparison of Islamic banking systems in the two countries, but its geographical focus differs from this study, which explores fiqh variations on a global scale. (Sukmaningrum & Yazid, 2022) in "*Analysis of Ijarah Contracts in Financing Products of Financial Institutions in Indonesia*" emphasize the application of ijarah contracts in local financial institutions, whereas this study examines the diversity of madhab interpretations on a broader scale. (Alsha & Thamrin, 2021) in "*The Concept of Ontology in Islamic Economics*," focus on theoretical aspects, contrasting with this study's practical and comparative analysis orientation.

The uniqueness of this research lies in its cross-madhab comparative approach, aiming to identify differences in the interpretation of Islamic economic jurisprudence among the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali schools, particularly in Sharia financial transactions such as murabahah and ijarah. Most previous studies have concentrated on a single aspect, such as the implementation of specific contracts or comparisons of systems within certain geographical regions, without providing an integrated analysis of how fiqh differences impact the harmonization of global standards.

This study aims to: (1) Analyze the variations in the interpretation of Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh*) among the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali schools in Sharia financial transactions. (2) Examine the implications of these interpretational differences on the design and practices of Sharia-compliant financial products at the global level. (3) Explore efforts to harmonize fiqh economic approaches to support the growth and inclusivity of the Islamic finance industry amid accelerating economic globalization.

This research is essential because the unmanaged diversity of fiqh interpretations could hinder the development of the Islamic finance industry. In the context of globalization, the harmonization of fiqh standards is a pressing necessity for the industry to compete with conventional financial systems while ensuring adherence to Sharia principles. By providing deeper insights into cross-madhab approaches in Islamic economic jurisprudence, this study

is expected to serve as a foundation for developing a more inclusive and adaptable framework in response to global market dynamics.

Methods

This study employs a descriptive qualitative approach to gain an in-depth understanding of the differences in the interpretation of Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh*) across various madhhab and their application in Sharia financial transactions (Fadli, 2021). This approach facilitates a comprehensive analysis of texts and documents, including academic literature, fatwas, legal rulings, classical juristic texts from madhhab scholars, and interviews with experts (Sugiyono, 2008). Data collected from primary and secondary sources are analyzed using content analysis methods, encompassing stages of codification, comparison, interpretation, and validation (Maulid, 2021).

This method was chosen because the descriptive qualitative approach systematically integrates various perspectives to produce a holistic understanding. Through content analysis, the study is designed to uncover practical and academically relevant insights, focusing on the role and influence of madhhab in Sharia financial transactions. This approach also ensures academic integrity and makes a significant contribution to the development of fiqh economic standards that align with the dynamics of the global market.

The Concept of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence

Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh al-iqtisad*) is a branch of knowledge that examines the application of Islamic law (*Sharia*) in economic activities, rooted in the principles of the Qur'an, Sunnah, and scholarly interpretations (Alsha & Thamrin, 2021). Beyond regulating the legal aspects of financial transactions, fiqh al-iqtisad also incorporates ethical values such as social justice, responsibility, and balance. Within this framework, it aims to establish an economic system that is just, transparent, sustainable, and oriented toward societal welfare (Amaroh, 2016).

As an implementation of Islamic values, concepts such as *Takaful* (Islamic insurance) and *Zakat* reflect the balance between social and economic responsibilities. *Takaful* emphasizes mutual cooperation in risk management, while *Zakat* serves as a tool for wealth redistribution to reduce social and economic inequalities (Atabik, 2016). Furthermore, fiqh al-iqtisad underscores the importance of conducting lawful (*halal*) transactions and avoiding practices such as *riba* (usury), gambling, and investments that conflict with Islamic values (Muhit & Ruheli, 2022).

Islamic economic jurisprudence remains relevant in addressing modern economic needs, particularly through the development of inclusive and sustainable Sharia-compliant financial products. These principles are not only vital for Islamic financial institutions but also serve as an ethical and sustainable alternative (Permana, 2020). By promoting equitable growth and prioritizing social development, *fiqh al-iqtisad* offers a framework well-suited to tackling contemporary global challenges (Al Mustaqim, 2023).

Fundamental Principles of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence in Financial Transactions

In financial transactions, Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh al-iqtisad*) emphasizes that every activity must not only comply with Sharia legal standards but also adhere to ethical and moral values. Key principles include the prohibition of *riba* (usury), where income must be earned through productive endeavors and equitable risk-sharing rather than interest on loans. This prohibition encourages profit-and-loss sharing systems, such as those implemented in *mudarabah* or *musharakah*, ensuring that profits and losses are proportionally shared among the involved parties (Suryaman & Bisri, 2023; Syaripudin et al., 2023).

Additionally, *fiqh al-iqtisad* avoids *gharar* (uncertainty or speculation) by requiring all transactions to be transparent and free from significant ambiguities to prevent fraud and ensure clarity for all parties (Madjid, 2018). Transactions must also be free from unlawful (*haram*) elements, such as investments in alcohol, gambling, or other activities that contradict Islamic values. This ensures that all economic activities align with Sharia principles and have a positive societal impact.

These principles promote transparency, justice, and shared responsibility in the economy. They establish the foundation for an economic system that prioritizes not only financial growth but also social welfare and economic justice (Syaripudin et al., 2023).

Madhhab in Economic Jurisprudence

The understanding of Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh al-iqtisad*) is inseparable from the contributions of various *madhhab*, such as Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali. Each of these schools offers a unique approach to the application of Sharia law in the economic sphere, reflecting the dynamic nature of *fiqh* as a discipline capable of adapting to social contexts and community needs (Saleh, 2016).

The Hanafi *madhhab* is renowned for its flexibility in interpreting Sharia principles, enabling pragmatic adaptations to changing economic conditions, particularly in financial

transactions and business contracts (Ibtisam et al., 2021). Meanwhile, the Maliki madhhab emphasizes the importance of local customs and cultural practices as part of the application of Islamic economic jurisprudence, creating a balance between core Islamic values and social needs (Kasdi, 2018).

The Shafi'i *madhhab*, with its systematic and methodical approach, offers a conservative interpretation of Islamic economic jurisprudence, emphasizing strict adherence to religious texts to ensure legal clarity and consistency (Anwar et al., 2023; Rozi, 2021). On the other hand, the Hanbali school promotes a literal and cautious approach, meticulously avoiding risks and uncertainties in financial transactions, thereby reinforcing the Sharia principle of prudence (Ayudiya & Mahasin, 2023).

The perspectives of these four madhhab enrich Islamic economic jurisprudence as a dynamic and adaptive discipline. They not only provide a diverse legal foundation but also shape approaches that are contextual and relevant to Muslim communities worldwide (Fauzi et al., 2022).

Sharia Financial Transactions

Sharia financial transactions represent the practical implementation of the principles of Islamic economic jurisprudence, designed to comply with Sharia law by avoiding *riba* (usury), *gharar* (uncertainty), and investments in prohibited businesses (Rahmah, 2020). A common form is *murabahah*, where the seller discloses the original cost of goods and sells them at a profit agreed upon transparently (Farid, 2013; Syauqoti, 2018). Another form is *mudharabah*, a partnership where one party provides capital and the other manages the business, with profit-sharing based on a prior agreement, reflecting the principle of risk-sharing (Siregar, 2020).

Another transaction is *musharakah*, involving capital contributions from all parties, with profit and loss shared according to their respective contributions. This type emphasizes cooperation and fairness in risk-sharing (Nastiti, 2022). Meanwhile, *ijarah* resembles leasing, where an asset is purchased by a financial institution and leased to a client under a contract that adheres to Sharia principles (Sukmaningrum & Yazid, 2022).

Sukuk, known as Islamic bonds, is a Sharia-compliant financial instrument that grants certificate holders ownership in an asset or project. It operates based on profit-sharing or leasing principles and is structured to avoid *riba* (N. Abdullah & Nayan, 2020; Indriasari, 2014).

Each type of transaction is designed to comply with Sharia principles and provides an alternative to conventional financial products. The application of Sharia financial transactions also demonstrates the adaptability and flexibility of Islamic economic jurisprudence in addressing contemporary economic needs and challenges (Ridwan, 2018). With a focus on fairness and risk avoidance, these transactions offer a sustainable and inclusive economic model.

The Application of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence in a Global Context

In the era of globalization, Islamic economic jurisprudence (*fiqh al-iqtisad*) plays a significant role not only in local contexts but also in the global economy (Septiani & Thamrin, 2021). One of its key aspects is the integration of Sharia-compliant financial products and services into global financial markets. By adhering to international standards set by bodies such as the Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions (AAOIFI) and the Basel Committee, Sharia financial products can compete globally while maintaining Sharia compliance (Andre et al., 2021; Mujib, 2017). Additionally, Islamic financial institutions must adapt to both local and international regulations, collaborating with regulators across jurisdictions to establish frameworks that support the sustainability of the Sharia financial system.

Diversification of Sharia financial products and services is another major focus at the global level. Beyond banking products, Islamic finance has expanded into other sectors such as insurance (*takaful*), capital markets (*sukuk*), and other Sharia-compliant investments. This diversification aims to meet the increasingly diverse demands of global markets. Simultaneously, technological advancements provide opportunities for the growth of Sharia fintech, enabling new innovations that enhance financial inclusion through digital platforms and mobile applications, thereby extending the reach of Sharia financial products in global markets (Salam Dz, 2018).

The global application of *fiqh al-iqtisad* is also marked by international collaboration. Partnerships between Sharia and conventional financial institutions, as well as between nations, strengthen capacity development and knowledge exchange. These collaborations support the sustainable development of the Islamic finance sector, promote best practices, and bolster the position of Sharia finance on a global scale (BPPI Setwapres, 2021).

Overall, the application of *fiqh al-iqtisad* in a global context demonstrates the flexibility and adaptability of Sharia principles in addressing various economic challenges. It also reflects the commitment of Islamic finance to a more inclusive, sustainable, and ethical

financial system, making it highly relevant in shaping a more equitable and transparent global economy (Ridwan, 2018).

Challenges and Opportunities in Islamic Economic Jurisprudence

Islamic economic jurisprudence () faces both challenges and opportunities amidst the rapid development of the global economy and technological innovation. One of the main challenges is integrating technology with Sharia principles, particularly in the realm of Islamic fintech. Products such as digital banking, mobile applications, and electronic payment systems must be designed to comply with Sharia principles while leveraging the latest technology (Hiyanti et al., 2020). Additionally, the growth of Sharia-compliant financial products necessitates strict Sharia compliance through effective audits and active participation of Sharia scholars in developing new products (Joffrey, 2019; Masni, 2019).

Market expansion and financial inclusion are also key focuses in the development of Islamic finance. With significant potential to serve the Muslim population underserved by conventional financial systems, Islamic finance can extend its reach into non-traditional markets and address unmet financial needs (Azwar, 2017; Frita et al., 2022). However, this growth requires support from competent human resources, which can be achieved through comprehensive education at universities, professional training, and the development of specialized curricula in Islamic economic jurisprudence (Hadi et al., 2023).

Islamic economic jurisprudence must also adapt to global standards and local regulations, ensuring that Sharia-compliant financial products meet legal requirements in various countries while adhering to Sharia principles. International collaboration among nations and institutions is essential to create frameworks that facilitate the integration of Islamic finance into the global financial system (Mujib, 2017; Septiani & Thamrin, 2021). Moreover, criticism and misconceptions about Islamic finance, both from within and outside the Muslim community, require effective education and advocacy to enhance public acceptance and understanding.

With its ethical and justice-based principles, Islamic economic jurisprudence holds significant potential to make a positive impact on the global economy. However, realizing this potential necessitates collaborative efforts among financial institutions, governments, academics, and communities to address challenges, seize opportunities, and position Islamic finance as a viable and ethical alternative to the global economic system (Arif, 2019; Handayani, 2015).

Interpretation of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence in Various Madhhabs

The Hanafi *madhhab* is recognized for its flexible and adaptive approach to Islamic economic jurisprudence. This adaptability is evident in how it addresses issues such as *riba* (usury), where its interpretations tend to be broader, taking into account the dynamic context of the economy (Ibtisam et al., 2021). By emphasizing reasoning and logic, the Hanafi *madhhab* allows for adjustments in various financial transactions. For example, in *murabahah* contracts, it is more accepting of variations in price setting and payment terms, adapting to ever-changing economic conditions (Zatadini & Ghozali, 2018).

The Maliki *madhhab* highlights local customs and traditions (*'urf*) as a key foundation in Islamic economic jurisprudence. This approach enables the application of Sharia principles by considering local economic practices, thereby creating significant flexibility in legal implementation (Kasdi, 2018). In *mudharabah* practices, for instance, the Maliki *madhhab* is more open to adjusting profit-sharing ratios based on local norms and traditions, making its approach highly relevant to various socio-cultural contexts (Kasdi, 2018; Setiyanto, 2016).

In contrast, the Shafi'i *madhhab* adopts a more conservative and methodical approach, placing a strong emphasis on adherence to religious texts. Rooted in textualism, it relies heavily on hadith and other religious sources as primary references. In financial transactions like *ijarah*, the Shafi'i *madhhab* imposes strict terms and conditions to ensure compliance with Sharia principles, reflecting its commitment to legal clarity and order (Anwar et al., 2023; Rozi, 2021).

Meanwhile, the Hanbali *madhhab* is known as the most conservative, with a strong emphasis on the literal interpretation of religious texts. It applies a high degree of caution in Islamic economic jurisprudence, particularly in avoiding potential *riba* and *gharar* (uncertainty), even when the risks are minimal. This approach results in highly stringent applications, such as in the Sharia assessment of financial products like *sukuk*. Its criteria are often more restrictive than those of other schools, aiming to ensure that every transaction is entirely free from violations of Sharia principles.

Application of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence in Sharia Financial Transactions

The Application of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence in Sharia Financial Transactions encompasses a comprehensive exploration of various contractual frameworks and mechanisms that adhere to Islamic principles. Among these, *Murabahah* Transactions stand out as a prominent financing tool, involving cost-plus-profit sales agreements that ensure transparency and fairness in trade. Additionally, the *Mudharabah* and *Musyarakah*

mechanisms provide innovative models for profit-and-loss sharing, fostering equitable partnerships between investors and entrepreneurs. The Ijarah Transactions, or leasing agreements, further diversify Sharia financial instruments by offering structured solutions for asset utilization without ownership transfer. Moreover, Sukuk, or Islamic bonds, demonstrate the application of Islamic jurisprudence in capital market instruments, enabling asset-backed investment opportunities compliant with Sharia. Together, these elements form the foundation of a robust, ethical financial ecosystem aligned with Islamic economic values.

Murabahah is a financing arrangement where a financial institution purchases goods and resells them to customers at a price that includes a pre-agreed profit margin (Ikbal & Chaliddin, 2022). Transparency is a key principle in this transaction, requiring full disclosure of purchase costs and profit margins to the buyer. A murabahah transaction must also be free from elements of *gharar* (uncertainty), ensuring that all aspects such as price, profit margin, and payment schedule are clearly defined at the time of the contract (Azzuhaili, 2011). Although approved by all Islamic schools of thought (*madzhab*), variations exist in its application, such as the regulation of profit margins and methods of cost disclosure.

Mudharabah is a profit-sharing partnership in which one party (*rabbul-mal*) provides capital, while the other (*mudharib*) manages the business. Profits are shared according to the initial agreement, while losses are borne by the capital provider unless caused by the *mudharib*'s negligence (Azzuhaili, 2011). Musyarakah, on the other hand, is a partnership where all parties contribute capital and share profits and losses proportionally to their contributions. In both schemes, the principles of fairness, transparent business management, and clear profit distribution are crucial (Siregar, 2020). Analyses show that the Hanafi and Maliki schools tend to be more flexible in risk and profit-sharing arrangements, whereas the Shafi'i and Hanbali schools enforce stricter rules.

Ijarah is a form of Islamic leasing where a financial institution leases an asset to a customer for a specified period, with an agreed-upon rental payment upfront. This payment must remain unchanged during the lease term, adhering to the principle of fairness in Islamic economic jurisprudence. Additionally, the leased asset must be specific and free from elements of *riba* (usury). Ijarah is commonly used in asset financing, such as for vehicles or properties, providing an efficient and Sharia-compliant financial solution (Sukmaningrum & Yazid, 2022).

Sukuk, or Islamic bonds, are certificates that represent proportional ownership in specific assets, businesses, or investment projects. The structure of sukuk is designed to avoid elements of *riba* and speculation, making it an ethical alternative to conventional bonds.

Sukuk are typically based on Sharia contracts such as *ijarah* or *mudharabah*, where investor payments come from revenue generated by the underlying assets or businesses. As a rapidly growing financial instrument, sukuk plays a pivotal role in the global Islamic finance industry, offering investment opportunities that align with Sharia principles (N. Abdullah & Nayan, 2020).

Comparison and Implementation of Islamic Economic Jurisprudence Across Schools of Thought in Financial Transactions

Research highlights significant variations in the interpretation and application of Islamic economic principles across the major schools of thought, particularly in financial transactions. The Hanafi and Maliki schools are generally more flexible, adapting their interpretations to market dynamics and socio-economic contexts. For instance, in *murabahah* financing, the Hanafi school permits greater variation in profit margins, while the Maliki school's emphasis on local customs enhances its adaptability. Conversely, the Shafi'i and Hanbali schools are stricter and prioritize textual adherence, ensuring rigorous compliance with Sharia principles. This is evident in *murabahah* transactions, where they require strict transparency and consistency in price determination.

In *mudharabah* and *musyarakah*, the differences become more pronounced in risk management and profit-sharing. Flexible schools like Hanafi and Maliki allow for varied profit-sharing schemes, accommodating diverse economic arrangements, whereas Shafi'i and Hanbali schools demand fixed and definitive profit proportions to minimize ambiguities. Similarly, in *ijarah* and sukuk practices, the stricter schools emphasize textual conformity, while the more adaptable schools integrate contemporary socio-economic factors. These distinctions reflect the dynamic interplay between Islamic jurisprudence and financial practices, underscoring the need for context-sensitive applications in modern Sharia-compliant systems.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates significant variations in the application of Islamic economic jurisprudence principles among the four major schools of thought. The Hanafi and Maliki schools are characterized by their greater flexibility and adaptability to evolving economic conditions, while the Shafi'i and Hanbali schools tend to adhere more strictly to religious texts and principles. These differences underline the diverse methodologies employed in understanding and implementing Sharia law in the economic domain. For instance, in

murabahah transactions, the approaches to transparency and price determination vary significantly among the schools. Likewise, in *mudarabah* and *musyarakah*, distinctions emerge in risk management and profit-sharing schemes, reflecting the unique legal interpretations of each madhhab.

This study is limited to qualitative analysis of Islamic economic jurisprudence across the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, and Hanbali madhhabs in selected financial transactions. Future research could include empirical case studies of Islamic financial institutions and explore inter-madhhab integration to develop standardized frameworks for Sharia-compliant finance, addressing emerging sectors like Islamic fintech and global market dynamics.

Bibliography

- Abdullah, A. (2017). A comparison between Malaysia and Indonesia in Islamic banking industry. *Research Journal of Business and Management*, 4(3), 276–286.
- Abdullah, N., & Nayan, M. A. (2020). Green sukuk: Financing the future to sustainable environment. *International Journal of Zakat and Islamic Philanthropy*, 2(2), 14–23. <https://baitalzakat.com/files/english-files/baitalzakat.com-E100224.pdf>
- Al Mustaqim, D. (2023). Strategi Pengembangan Pariwisata Halal Sebagai Pendorong Ekonomi Berkelanjutan Berbasis Maqashid Syariah. *AB-JOIEC: Al-Bahjah Journal of Islamic Economics*, 1(1), 26–43. <https://www.jurnal.staialbahjah.ac.id/index.php/ab-joiec/article/view/20>
- Alsha, D. L., & Thamrin, H. (2021). Konsep Ontologi dalam Ekonomi Islam. *Syarikat: Jurnal Rumpun Ekonomi Syariah*, 4(2), 33–42. <https://journal.uir.ac.id/index.php/syarikat/article/view/8503>
- Amaroh, S. (2016). Tanggung Jawab Sosial Bank Syariah Terhadap Stakeholder dalam Perspektif Maqâshid Syari'ah. *AHKAM: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah*, 16(1). <https://www.academia.edu/download/81780185/2264.pdf>
- Andre, A., Haziq, A., & Basyariah, N. (2021). Kerjasama Ekonomi Global Antar Negara: Pendekatan Normatif Historis Perspektif Ekonomi Islam. *Youth & Islamic Economic Journal*, 2(2), 41–46. <http://jurnalhamfara.ac.id/index.php/yie/article/view/203>
- Angriani, R. A. R. (2019). Peran Organisasi Islam Dalam Pengembangan dan Penerapan Hukum Islam di Indonesia. *Jurnal Publikasi*, 1(1). <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/385964701.pdf>
- Anwar, S., Sakina, R., Lukita, M., Hernata, N., Miranda, M., & Ridwan, M. (2023). Mazhab Syafi'i Sebagai Paradigma Dalam Pemikiran dan Penetapan Hukum Islam di

- Indonesia. *VARIA HUKUM*, 5(2), 101–123.
<https://journal.uinsgd.ac.id/index.php/varia/article/view/28191>
- Arif, Z. (2019). Optimalisasi Peluang Dan Tantangan Ekonomi Islam Dalam Menghadapi Masyarakat Ekonomi Asean. *Al Maal: Journal of Islamic Economics and Banking*, 1(1), 89–99. <http://jurnal.umt.ac.id/index.php/jieb/article/view/1817>
- Atabik, A. (2016). Peranan zakat dalam pengentasan kemiskinan. *ZISWAF: Jurnal Zakat Dan Wakaf*, 2(2), 339–361.
- Ayudiya, M. I., & Mahasin, N. (2023). Perkembangan Pemikiran Fiqih Imam Ahmad Bin Hanbal: Konstruksi Metode Ijtihad. *Islamic Education*, 1(2), 57–72. <http://maryamsejahtera.com/index.php/Education/article/view/171>
- Azwar, A. A. (2017). Analisis empiris inklusifitas keuangan syariah di Indonesia. *Jurnal BPPK: Badan Pendidikan Dan Pelatihan Keuangan*, 10(1), 1–21.
- Azzuhaili, W. (2011). *Alfiqhu al-islamiyyu wa adillatuhu: Vol. Jilid 5*. Gema Insani.
- BPMI Setwapres, D. (2021, Februari 16). *Kolaborasi Antar Lembaga, Babak Baru Ekonomi Syariah Indonesia—Wakil Presiden Republik Indonesia*. <https://www.wapresri.go.id/kolaborasi-antar-lembaga-babak-baru-ekonomi-syariah-indonesia/>
- Dahlan, R. (2023). Teori Pembentukan Hukum Islam di Bidang Perbankan dan Keuangan Syariah. *Kordinat: Jurnal Komunikasi antar Perguruan Tinggi Agama Islam*, 22(1), 87–102. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/577830283.pdf>
- Fadli, M. R. (2021). Memahami desain metode penelitian kualitatif. *Humanika, Kajian Ilmiah Mata Kuliah Umum*, 21(1), 33–54. https://scholar.archive.org/work/a3mjph7zgrchfhdz5bah2o66de/access/wayback/https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/humanika/article/download/38075/pdf_1
- Farid, M. (2013). Murabahah Dalam Perspektif Fikih Empat Mazhab. *Epistemé: Jurnal Pengembangan Ilmu Keislaman*, 8(1), 113–134.
- Fauzi, M. Y., Hermanto, A., Ismail, H., & Arsyad, M. (2022). Metode Ijtihad Dan Dinamika Persoalan Di Kalangan Imam Madzhab. *At-Tahdzib: Jurnal Studi Islam Dan Muamalah*, 10(1), 67–79. <http://ejournal.staiat-tahdzib.ac.id/tahdzib/article/view/271>
- Frita, N., Hamdani, I., & Devi, A. (2022). Pengaruh Inklusi Keuangan dan Bank Syariah terhadap Infrastruktur Nasional Dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Dalam Program SDGs. *El-Mal: Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi & Bisnis Islam*, 3(1), 155–182.

- Hadi, A., Laifah, L., & Waluya, A. H. (2023). Strategi Pengembangan Sumber Daya Insani Di Indonesia. *Ekobis Syariah*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://jurnal.ar-raniry.ac.id/index.php/ekobis/article/view/17779>
- Handayani, D. L. (2015). Hukum Ekonomi Syariah: Tantangan dan Peluang dalam Pengembangan Inovasi Instrumen Keuangan Syariah. *Al-Manahij: Jurnal Kajian Hukum Islam*, 9(2), 335–346. <https://ejournal.uinsaizu.ac.id/index.php/almanahij/article/view/506>
- Hiyanti, H., Nugroho, L., Sukmadilaga, C., & Fitrijanti, T. (2020). Peluang dan tantangan fintech (financial technology) syariah di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Islam*, 5(3), 326–333. <https://jurnal.stie-aas.ac.id/index.php/jei/article/view/578>
- Ibtisam, I., Talli, A. H., & Kurniati, K. (2021). Implementasi Pemikiran Imam Abu Hanifah Terkait Akad Salam Di Kalangan Generasi Milenial. *Al-Amwal: Journal of Islamic Economic Law*, 6(1), 45–63. <http://ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id/index.php/alamwal/article/view/1937>
- Ikkal, M., & Chaliddin, C. (2022). Akad Murabahah Dalam Islam. *Al-Hiwalah: Journal Syariah Economic Law*, 1(2), 143–156. <https://journal.iainlhokseumawe.ac.id/index.php/AlHiwalah/article/view/896>
- Indriasari, I. (2014). Sukuk Sebagai Alternatif Instrumen Investasi Dan Pendanaan. *BISNIS: Jurnal Bisnis dan Manajemen Islam*, 2(1), 61–76.
- Joffrey, F. (2019). Islamic banking in Pakistan: Shariah-compliant finance and the quest to make Pakistan more Islamic: by Feisal Khan, London, Routledge, 2015, xii + 185 pp., US \$49.95 (paperback), ISBN 978-0-415-77975-3. *Contemporary South Asia*, 27(4), 561–562. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2019.1689668>
- Kasdi, A. (2018). Menyelami Fiqih Madzhab Maliki (Karakteristik Pemikiran Imam Maliki dalam Memadukan Hadits dan Fiqih). *YUDISIA: Jurnal Pemikiran Hukum Dan Hukum Islam*, 8(2), 315–329.
- Madjid, S. S. (2018). Prinsip-Prinsip (Asas-Asas) Muamalah. *Jurnal Hukum Ekonomi Syariah*, 2(1), 14–28. <https://www.neliti.com/publications/288528/prinsip-prinsip-asas-asas-muamalah>
- Masni, H. (2019). Analisis penerapa syariah compliance dalam produk bank syariah. *Jurnal Hukum Ekonomi Syariah*, 3(2), 118–137.
- Mas'ud, M. F. (2022). Pemikiran Hukum Ekonomi Islam Muslim Kontemporer (Elaborasi Nalar Madzhab Ekonomi Islam Kontemporer Umer Chapra dan Timur Kuran).

- Azmina: Jurnal Perbankan Syariah*, 1(2), 152–165. <http://ejournal.stai-almuhajirin.ac.id/index.php/azmina/article/view/19>
- Maulid, R. (2021). Mengenal Analisis Konten dalam Analisis Data Kualitatif. *Didapatkan dari <https://dqlab.id/mengenal-analisis-konten-dalam-analisis-data-kualitatif>*.
- Muhit, M., & Ruheli, R. (2022). Status Hukum dan Pengelolaan Dana Non Halal Hotel Syariah Perspektif Hukum Ekonomi Syariah. *Al-Mashlahah Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Pranata Sosial*, 93–114. <https://jurnal.staialhidayahbogor.ac.id/index.php/am/article/view/3601>
- Mujib, A. (2017). Ekonomi Islam Global dalam Ranah Fiqh. *Jurnal Masharif al-Syariah: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syariah*, 2(2). <https://journal.um-surabaya.ac.id/Mas/article/view/941>
- Nastiti, A. S. (2022). Implementasi Akad Musyarakah Dalam Produk Pembiayaan Perbankan Syariah Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Adz-Dzahab: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam*, 7(1), 1–19. <https://journal.uiad.ac.id/index.php/adz-dzahab/article/view/818>
- OJK, O. J. K. (2017). *Sejarah Perbankan Syariah*. <https://ojk.go.id/id/kanal/syariah/tentang-syariah/pages/sejarah-perbankan-syariah.aspx>
- Permana, A. (2020, September 28). *Pemanfaatan Teknologi dalam Mendukung Industri Halal* [Post]. <https://www.itb.ac.id/berita/detail/57621/pemanfaatan-teknologi-dalam-mendukung-industri-halal>
- Rahmah, S. (2020). PRINSIP-PRINSIP DASAR EKONOMI ISLAM DALAM BISNIS. *Ar-Ribh : Jurnal Ekonomi Islam*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.26618/jei.v3i2.4253>
- Rahmawati, R. (2011). Dinamika Akad dalam Transaksi Ekonomi Syariah. *Al-Iqtishad: Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi Syariah*, 3(1).
- Ridwan, M. (2018). Fleksibilitas Hukum Ekonomi Syariah. *TAWAZUN: Journal of Sharia Economic Law*, 1(2), 161–173.
- Rozi, F. (2021). Pemikiran Mazhab Fiqh Imam Syafi'i. *HAKAM: Jurnal Kajian Hukum Islam dan Hukum Ekonomi Islam*, 5(2). <https://ejournal.unuja.ac.id/index.php/hakam/article/view/3502>
- Rusdan, R. (2022). PRINSIP-PRINSIP DASAR FIQH MUAMALAH DAN PENERAPANNYA PADA KEGIATAN PEREKONOMIAN. *Jurnal El-Hikam*, 15(2), 207–237.
- Salam Dz, A. (2018). Inklusi keuangan perbankan syariah berbasis digital-banking: Optimalisasi dan tantangan. *Al-Amwal: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syari'ah*, 10(1), 63–80.

- Saleh, M. (2016). Eksistensi madzhab dalam hukum islam masa kontemporer. *Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum*, 13(1), 147–162. <https://e-journal.ejournal.metrouniv.ac.id/istinbath/article/download/547/479>
- Septiani, A., & Thamrin, H. (2021). Urgensi Ekonomi Syariah dalam Menghadapi Ekonomi Global. *Jurnal Inovasi Penelitian*, 2(7), 2203–2212. <https://ejournal.stpmataram.ac.id/JIP/article/view/1063>
- Setiyanto, D. A. (2016). *Pemikiran Hukum Islam Imam Malik Bin Anas (Pendekatan Sejarah Sosial)*. <https://oldjournal.iainsurakarta.ac.id/index.php/al-ahkam/article/view/177>
- Siregar, S. H. (2020). Mudarabah dalam Perspektif Hukum Ekonomi Syariah dan Implikasinya terhadap Lembaga Keuangan Syariah. *IJTIHAD*, 36(1). <https://journals.fasya.uinib.org/index.php/ijtihead/article/view/5>
- Sugiyono, S. (2008). *Metode Penelitian Bisnis*. Alfabeta.
- Sukmaningrum, D. A. S., & Yazid, M. (2022). Analisis Akad Ijarah Dalam Praktik Produk Pembiayaan Lembaga Keuangan Di Indonesia. *Al Fiddhoh: Journal of Banking, Insurance, and Finance*, 3(2), 81–97. <https://ejournal.iainkerinci.ac.id/index.php/al-fiddoh/article/view/1421>
- Suryaman, M., & Bisri, H. (2023). Prinsip-Prinsip dan Kaidah Dasar Transaksi dalam Sistem Ekonomi Syariah. *AL-MASHALIH (Journal of Islamic Law)*, 4(1), 1–8. <https://journal.stishusnulhotimah.ac.id/index.php/mashalih/article/view/165>
- Syaripudin, E. I., Furkony, D. K., Maulin, M., & Bisri, H. (2023). Prinsip-Prinsip dan Kaidah Transaksi dalam Ekonomi Syari'ah. *Jurnal Hukum Ekonomi Syariah (JHESY)*, 1(2), 284–294. <https://journal.stai-musaddadiyah.ac.id/index.php/jhesy/article/view/359>
- Syauqoti, R. (2018). Aplikasi akad Murabahah pada lembaga keuangan syariah. *Jurnal Masharif Al-Syariah: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Perbankan Syariah*, 3(1). <https://journal.um-surabaya.ac.id/Mas/article/view/1489>
- Zatadini, N., & Ghozali, M. (2018). Analisis Pemikiran Ekonomi Islam Imam Abu Hanifah. *AL-FALAH: Journal of Islamic Economics*, 3(1), 29–44. <http://journal.iaincurup.ac.id/index.php/alfalah/article/view/404>